



CITY OF EUREKA

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

531 K Street • Eureka, California 95501-1146 • Fax 707-441-4202

Administration: 707-441-4203 • Engineering: 707-441-4194

Building: 707-441-4155 • Utility Operations: 707-441-4364

publicworks@ci.eureka.ca.gov • www.ci.eureka.ca.gov

On-Call Consulting Services

Bid No. 2020-14

Addendum # 01 – 4/9/2020

The purpose of this Addendum is to correct and modify the RFQ for the On-Call Consulting Services Contract. This Addendum shall become part of the Contract Documents for this project, and a signed copy shall be attached to all RFQs.

Addendum 01:

Item #1: Questions Received:

Question 1: Given the current coronavirus situation, would the City consider accepting electronic submittal by email, and remove the requirement to submit hard copies and pdf format on electronic media? Should the City elect to allow email submission of proposals, how should we submit pricing (as the RFQ is currently written, pricing is not to be included with PDF proposal)?

Answer 1: Yes, the city will accept electronic SOQ submissions via email to dcaisse@ci.eureka.ca.gov. In order to keep the pricing separate, each applicant should send an email with two (2), clearly labeled, separate attachments. The first attachment should be the SOQ and the second attachment should be the pricing (which, includes the itemized cost breakdown). Both attachments should be labeled appropriately.

Question 2: Can you provide a copy of the City's Cooperative Agreement with EPA for the grant?

Answer 2: A copy will be provided to the awarded consultant.

Question 3: Can you provide a copy of the City's Cooperative Agreement Work Plan for the project?

Answer 3: A copy will be provided to the awarded consultant.

Engineering

Construction
Development
Transportation

Field Operations

Water Distribution
Wastewater Collection
Equipment Operations

Building

Construction Regulation
Code Enforcement

Utility Operations

Water and Wastewater Treatment
Stormwater
Pretreatment

Question 8: If there is a LBE, SBE, WBE, or DVBE goal the City would like to meet in the RFQ.

Answer 8: There is a construction MBE and WBE goal of 7% and 1% respectively.

Question 9: Is there not a current responsible party (Union Pacific Railroad) for the Balloon Track/General Petroleum site and is the Brownfields grant intended to be used for further assessment and clean-up planning for that Site?

Answer 9: The Brownfield grant is intended to intake community involvement through the environmental assessment of different parcels to plan for clean-up and eventual development at these locations. Determining the responsible party will be required as part of the environmental assessment.

Question 10: What other specific properties along the blighted waterfront corridor are being targeted? What other specific properties anywhere within the target area have been identified?

Answer 10: The City intends to focus on areas on and near the Eureka Waterfront and Marina district that have been impacted by petroleum or hazardous waste contamination. The awarded consultant will be provided a list of potential sites for further environmental analysis through this grant. Refer to the map provided in Answer 4 for the specific Balloon Track target area.

Question 11: What specific community outreach/involvement would the city like to have and do you anticipate a high level of community involvement in general? From specific neighbourhood groups? From specific individuals?

Answer 11: Please refer to "Task 1- Community Outreach and Engagement" in the RFQ for specific community outreach and a list of interested groups. A high level of diverse community involvement is anticipated from environmental groups, local businesses, property owners, nearby residents, and other stakeholders.

Question 12: Besides green space, what redevelopment/land use goals does the city have for the target area? More commercial than residential? Vice versa? Mixed use?

Answer 12: This area is envisioned to become a substantial high quality mixed-use commercial district, emphasizing retail shopping and service commercial uses supplemented by upper floor office and residential uses. Development will be driven by the goal of expanding the look and feel of Old Town to the west, instead of expanding the look and feel of Broadway to the north.

Question 13: The sample agreement provided with the RFQ requires that subcontractors carry the same insurance as the prime consultant. The majority of the subcontractors we would hire to assist in our scope of work are companies such as drillers, backhoe operators, utility locators, etc. Such companies are contractors, not design professionals, and do not carry Professional Liability. Furthermore, \$2,000,000 Commercial General and Auto is higher than the industry norm of \$1,000,000 for contractors. Will the City consider reducing the insurance limits for subcontractors to industry-standard?

Answer 13: Professional Liability or Malpractice Insurance is not required for subcontractors which, do not perform Consultant/Professional Services.

Commercial General and Automobile Liability Insurance shall remain at least \$2,000,000 for those subcontractors performing field-based work (such as drillers, backhoe operators, etc.). For those subcontractors which perform office-based work, a \$1,000,000 policy limit is acceptable.

Question 14: Individual task timelines appear to be based on an earlier start date. Can you please either provide new dates or a fixed number of months to move everything forward by?

Answer 14: The individual task timelines are accurate and represent the proposed start and completion dates for both City and Consultant work.

Question 15: Task 6 is titled "Remediation, Reuse, and Redevelopment Planning," however, the task description focuses primarily on remediation. Please identify the level of planning services to include in this task. Is it just identifying based on current zoning, or are more extensive planning services anticipated?

Answer 15: As discussed in the RFQ, the consultant's primary task (under Task 6) will be preparing Remedial Action Planning and Analysis of Brownfields Clean-up Alternatives documents for the chosen sites. The required level of planning will be determined by the City and chosen consultant following Phase II ESAs.

All prospective bidders shall attach the properly signed Addendum as part of their sealed bid.

Dated: Bidder's Signature: _____

Dated: 4/9/2020 Project Manager:  _____

Dated: 4/9/2020 City Engineer:  _____